Appl. No. 10/537,278

Attorney Docket No. 12400/41 Client Reference No. P 17056 US

II. Remarks

Claims 2-10 and 13-22 were pending in this application. Claims 8 and

9 are allowed. Claims 2-7, 10, and 13-17 were rejected and claims 18-22

were objected to. The present amendment cancels claims 2-7, 10, and 13-17

adds new claims 23-25, and amends claims 18, 19, 21, and 22 to more

particularly point out and clarify Applicant's invention. After this amendment,

claims 8, 9, and 18-25 will be pending.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for her correspondence with Daniel P.

Dailey on December 2, 2008 regarding canceling claim 13 to facilitate

expedited prosecution of this case in light of the advisory action. The

amendments made herein are in response to the office action, the advisory

action and to the Examiner's suggestion from her correspondence.

Reconsideration of the application in view of the above amendments

and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thankfully acknowledges the Examiner's allowance of claims

8 and 9 as well as the Examiner's indication of the allowability of claims 18-22

if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claims and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, claim 18 has been re-presented in independent form,

including the limitations of former claim 10. Applicant notes that with respect

to the location of the outwardly directed mounting flange, the limitation "at a

lower end" of the outer cylinder is deleted as it is an unnecessary limitation.

BRINKS HOFER GILSON &LIONE

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60611-5599 - 8 -

Appl. No. 10/537,278 Attorney Docket No. 12400/41 Client Reference No. P 17056 US

Likewise, claims 21 and 22 have been re-presented in independent form,

including the limitations of former claims 7 and 10. Applicant notes that

claims 21 and 22 recite that the outer hollow cylindrical guide includes a

mounting flange and wherein the abutment face is provided by the mounting

flange. Thus, claims 18, 21, and 22 are now in condition for allowance as well

as dependent claims 19, 20, and 23-25, which generally depend from

allowable claims 8, 18, 21, and 22.

**Conclusion** 

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully

submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable

over the art of record and that this application is now in condition for

allowance. Such action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

December 3, 2008

Date

/Daniel P. Dailey/

Daniel P. Dailey (Reg. No.54,054)

BRINKS HOFER GILSON &LIONE

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60611-5599